13

TAB

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-M-T-I-A-L

MEMORANDUM TO: RECHLATIONS CONTROL STAFF, 551 Matcade Bldg.

SUBJECT:

Proposed Section IV: Wage Administration Step-Increases

of R 20-605: Pay Administration

Braft A dated 14 May 1956

This issuance has been reviewed and our position is as

follows:

x CONCUR: (Check each factor considered)

Substance

* Rescissions Listed

X Classification

X Distribution Proposed

Minor comments not affecting concurrence attached in duplicate

GENERAL COUNSEL, ONLY:

- * CONCUR as to Legality
- E DCI Authentication is not required

25X1A9A

Assistant General Counsel Office of General Counsel

Distribution:

Orig.

- addressee

1 - subject w/basic - 11 May 1956

1 - signer /

1 - OGC chrono

OGC: RFB: ap

(Job # 1466-A-TT) Due: 19 June 1956

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

CONFIDENTIAL

11 May 1956

R 20-605 - Comments

- position" is ungrammatical. If this form is used, the proper phrasing is "their positions." It is suggested that the phrasing used might be that employed in paragraph 4.2.
- 2. Paragraph 5.a.(2) In the last two lines of this paragraph the word "of has inadvertently been inserted twice.
- 3. Paragraph 12.b. The word "conversely" is used improperly. It is suggested that it be omitted so that the line in which it appears will read "complayer are satisfactory or will attach the nessorendum.
 - 4. Paragraph 14.a. "Judgment" is the preferred spelling.
- 5. Personanh 14.b.(6) The word "an" should be inserted before the word "approved."
- 6. Paragraph 14.e.(1) The word "certification" should be in the plural.
- 7. Paragraph 14.c.(2) The word "memorandum" should be in the plural.